

LUG13

Using Changelogs for Efficient Search and Content Discovery

Ashley Pittman

- ▶ Not end-user feature
 - Great building block for components layered on top of Lustre
- ▶ Not actually new
 - Although not widely used yet

Changelogs, what you get.

▶ Configuring/using changelogs.

1. Enable
2. Read
3. Mark consumed (delete)

▶ Example changelogs

```
52 02MKDIR 15:36:12.485458611 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6af:0x0] osd-ldiskfs
53 01CREAT 15:36:12.487463260 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c7:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] osd_oi.h
54 15XATTR 15:36:12.493459818 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c7:0x0]
55 01CREAT 15:36:12.564463988 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c8:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] osd_iam.h
56 15XATTR 15:36:12.570466507 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c8:0x0]
57 01CREAT 15:36:12.660468456 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c9:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] osd_iam_lvar.c
58 15XATTR 15:36:12.666467542 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6c9:0x0]
59 01CREAT 15:36:12.738461715 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6ca:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] osd_iam.c
60 15XATTR 15:36:12.744461576 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6ca:0x0]
61 01CREAT 15:36:12.852466656 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6cb:0x0] p=[0x200000400:0x6c6:0x0] osd_internal.h
62 15XATTR 15:36:12.859466307 2013.04.15 0x0 t=[0x200000400:0x6cb:0x0]
```

- ▶ created... written... closed.
- ▶ From the point where it's closed to when it's subsequently opened again it's contents are static.
- ▶ Not dissimilar to a object.
 - “Immutable”
 - Has attributes
 - Can think of name and directory as a attribute, rather than a way of accessing the file.

Why search?

- ▶ If you can't find it you may as well not have it.
 - Larger filesystems
 - Tiering/HSM make traditional search even harder
 - Maps well onto certain workflows

- ▶ “ElasticSearch is a distributed, RESTful, free/open source search server based on Apache Lucene.”
 - Scalable
 - Resilient
 - Matches the write-once, read-many model
 - Or rather – matches the “single producer”, “multiple consumer” model



Multiple indexes

Multiple types per index

Create documents of a type

Documents are returned from search queries

Types are schema-less

Documents are normally represented in JSON

The Filesystem river plugin helps to index documents in local filesystems.

- ▶ Creates indexes automatically.
- ▶ Creates type automatically.
- ▶ Imports contents of a POSIX filesystem as documents
 - Uses file metadata to define a schema.

- ▶ Periodically scans filesystems
 - Controllable frequency.
- ▶ Stores all posix metadata so any field is searchable
- ▶ Uses Apache Tika for indexing common file types
 - .doc, .html, .pdf
- ▶ File contents are searchable by keywords extracted by Tika.

1. Modify fsriver “scan” function to consume changelog rather than scan
 1. Only need to intercept write-metadata IOPS
 2. Leaving scan function in place for import/recovery
2. Change the frequency of scans.
3. Real-time searching of file metadata in Lustre with almost zero code.

Lustre specific extensions required

- ▶ To be really useful searching by OST should work.
 - Query FID and stripe information from file and import into json document.
- ▶ Parsing “lfs getstripe” in java.
- ▶ Disable content-search for files which are archived.
 - Danger of archiving the file, then elasticsearch trying to read it immediately afterwards

- ▶ Scalability isn't free
 1. Works well with the write once, read many workload
 2. Read-delay on writing. Files written to Lustre aren't imported immediately. Neither changelogs or elasticsearch import are synchronous
 3. Possible false positives
 4. Better to create/delete than to modify
- ▶ Most data is static most of the time, great for HSM or long-term storage. Less good for "home" filesystems.

- ▶ Permissions.
 - Checking file permission in isolation is not enough
 - Need to walk the POSIX namespace checking permission for each directory
 - Means you have to build a layer on top of elastic search
 - Which is normal but beyond the scope of this work
- ▶ Everything we've done is just interacting with the search engine directly.
 - Command line queries, manually reading JSON replies.
- ▶ Content scanning on archive is too late.

- 1) Suitable backend exists and is easy to use & configure
Very flexible in configuration/scalability
- 2) Not dissimilar in functionality to robin-hood
Different performance characters
- 3) Flat, object-based model of filesystem contents
True for archived files, less true for active files
- 4) POSIX-like construct on top of it
POSIX semantics however are probably impossible
- 5) Would be better implemented behind policy-engine

