

Advancing Digital Storage Innovation



#### Network Request Scheduler Scale Testing Results

Nikitas Angelinas nikitas\_angelinas@xyratex.com

#### Agenda

- NRS background
- Aim of test runs
- Tools used
- Test results
- Future tasks



### **NRS** motivation

Increased read and write throughput across the filesystem.

Increased fairness amongst filesystem nodes, and better utilization of resources.

Clients.

OSTs.

Network.

Deliberate and controlled unfairness amongst filesystem nodes; QoS semantics.

Client or export prioritization.





#### Foreword

- NRS is a collaborative project between Whamcloud and Xyratex.
  - Code is at git://git.whamcloud.com/fs/lustre-dev.git repo, branch liang/b\_nrs.
  - Jira ticket LU-398.
  - Is waiting for some large-scale testing.
- It allows the PTLRPC layer to reorder the servicing of incoming RPCs.
  - We are mostly interested in bulk I/O RPCs.
- Predominantly server-based, although the clients could play a part in some use cases.



### NRS policies

- A binary heap data type is added to libcfs.
  - Used to implement prioritized queues of RPCs at the server.
  - Sorts large numbers of RPCs (10,000,000+) with minimal insertion/removal time.
- FIFO Logical wrapper around existing PTLRPC functionality.
  - Is the default policy for all RPC types.
- CRR-E Client Round Robin, RR over exports.
- CRR-N Client Round Robin, RR over NIDs.
- ORR Object Round Robin, RR over backend-fs objects, with request ordering according to logical or physical offsets.
- TRR Target Round Robin, RR over OSTs, with request ordering according to logical or physical offsets.
- Client prioritization policy (not yet implemented).
- QoS, or guaranteed availability policy (not yet implemented).



#### NRS features

Allows to select a different policy for each PTLRPC service.

- Potentially separate on HP and normal requests in the future.
- Policies can be hot-swapped via lprocfs, while the system is handling I/O.
- Policies can fail handling a request:
  - Intentionally or unintentionally.
  - A failed request is handled by the FIFO policy.
  - FIFO cannot fail the processing of an RPC.



- Any performance regressions for the NRS framework with FIFO policy?
- Scalability to a large number of clients?
- Effective implementation of the algorithms?
- Are other policies besides FIFO useful?
  - A given policy may aid performance in particular situations, while hindering performance in other situations.
  - A given policy may also benefit more generic aspects of the filesystem workload.
- Provide quantified answers to the above via a series of tests performed at large scale.



### **Benchmarking environment**

- NRS code rebased on the same Git commit as vanilla; apples vs apples.
- IOR for SSF and FPP runs of sequential I/O tests.
- mdtest for file and directory operations metadata performance.
- IOzone in clustered mode.
- Multi-client test script using groups of dd processes.
- 1 Xyratex CS3000; 2 x OSS, 4 x OSTs each.
- 10 128 physical clients, depending on test case and resource availability.
- Infiniband QDR fabric.
- Larger scale tests performed at University of Cambridge; smaller scale tests performed inhouse.



### Performance regression with FIFO policy

- IOR SSF and FPP, and mdtest runs.
- Looking for major performance regressions; minor performance regressions would be hidden by the variance between test runs.
- So these tests aim to give us indications, but not definite assurance.
- IOR FPP: IOR -v -a POSIX -i3 -g -e -w -W -r -b 16g -C -t 4m -F -o /mnt/lustre/testfile.fpp -O lustreStripeCount=1.
- IOR SSF: IOR -v -a POSIX -i3 -g -e -w -W -r -b 16g -C -t 4m -o /mnt/lustre/testfile.ssf -O lustreStripeCount=-1.
- mdtest: mdtest -u -d /mnt/lustre/mdtest{1-128} -n 32768 -i 3.



### **IOR FPP regression testing**

#### IOR FPP sequential 4MB I/O



■ vanilla write ■ FIFO write ■ vanilla read ■ FIFO read



### IOR SSF regression testing

IOR SSF sequential 4MB I/O



#### 128 clients, 1 thread per client



64 clients, 1 thread per client



■ vanilla write ■ FIFO write ■ vanilla read ■ FIFO read



#### mdtest file and dir ops regression testing

mdtest file operations



#### 128 clients, 1 thread per client, 4.2 million files





128 clients, 1 thread per client, 4.2 million directories



#### mdtest file and dir ops regression testing



64 clients, 1 thread per client, 2.1 million files

mdtest file operations

#### mdtest directory operations



xyratex•

04/23/2012

#### mdtest file and dir ops regression testing



#### 12 clients, 2 threads per client, 196608 files

mdtest file operations

mdtest directory operations





### **CRR-N** dd-based investigation

- Groups of dd processes.
  - Read test: dd if=/mnt/lustre/dd\_client\*/BIGFILE\* of=/dev/null bs=1M.
  - Write test: dd if=/dev/zero of=/mnt/lustre/dd\_client\*/outfile\* bs=1M.
- Two series of test runs:
  - 10 clients with 10 dd processes each.
  - 9 clients with 11 dd processes, 1 client with 1 dd process.
- Observe the effect of NRS CRR-N vs vanilla for the above test runs.
  - Measure throughput at each client.
  - Calculate standard deviation of throughput.



									1000 A. 1000	
					12345-172.18.1.128@o2ib,					
					12345-172.18.1.122@o2ib,					
					12345-172.18.1.124@o2ib,		-			
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.127@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85734	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.123@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85744	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.126@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85757	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.118@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85794	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.117@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85839	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.131@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85861	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.121@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85923	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.129@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85969	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.125@o2ib,	round	6975,	seq:	85981	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.119@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85482	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.120@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85495	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.128@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85637	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.122@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85683	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.124@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85687	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.127@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85735	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.123@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85745	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.126@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85761	٠
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.117@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85840	
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.131@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85866	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.118@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85882	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.121@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85926	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.129@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	85970	•
NRS	start	crr2	request	from	12345-172.18.1.125@o2ib,	round	6976,	seq:	86030	•



#### dd write test - 10 clients, each with 10 processes

vanilla vs CRR-N

#### 10 clients, 10 processes each, write test



handler	stdev	throughput
vanilla	19.361 MB/sec	3469 MB/sec
CRR-N	0.425 MB/sec	3537.5 MB/sec



### dd write test – 9 clients with 11 procs, client 4 with 1 proc

vanilla vs CRR-N

#### 9 clients 11 processes, 1 client 1 process, write test



handler	stdev (client 4 excluded)	client 4	throughput
vanilla	22.756 MB/sec	49.2 MB/sec	3473.9 MB/sec
CRR-N	0.491 MB/sec	167 MB/sec	3444 MB/sec



### dd read test - 10 clients, each with 10 processes, 128 threads

vanilla vs CRR-N





handler	stdev	throughput						
vanilla	6.156 MB/sec	2193.8 MB/sec						
CRR-N	7.976 MB/sec	2054.6 MB/sec						



# dd read test - 9 clients with 11 procs, client 3 with 1 proc, 128 threads

vanilla vs CRR-N

#### 9 clients 11 processes, 1 client 1 process, read test



handler	stdev (client 3 excluded)	client 3	throughput
vanilla	7.490 MB/sec	161 MB/sec	2229.2 MB/sec
CRR-N	8.455 MB/sec	169 MB/sec	2106.6 MB/sec



### IOR FPP - vanilla vs NRS with CRR-N policy

IOR FPP sequential 4MB I/O





■ vanilla write ■ CRR-N write ■ vanilla read ■ CRR-N read







■ vanilla write ■ CRR-N write ■ vanilla read ■ CRR-N read



#### IOR SSF - vanilla vs NRS with CRR-N policy

IOR SSF sequential 4MB I/O





■ vanilla write ■ CRR-N write ■ vanilla read ■ CRR-N read







■ vanilla write ■ CRR-N write ■ vanilla read ■ CRR-N read



### **CRR-N** comments

CRR-N causes a significant lowering of the stdev of write throughput.

- i.e. it 'evens things out'.
- Many users will want this.
- CRR-N shows a negative effect on dd test read operations, but IOR regression tests are fine.
  - Worst case, reads could be routed to FIFO or other policy.
- CRR-N may improve compute cluster performance when used with real jobs that do some processing.
- No performance regressions on IOR tests.
  - Confidence to deploy in real clusters and get real-world feedback.
- Future testing task is to see if these results scale.



- ORR serves bulk I/O RPCs (only OST\_READs by default) in a Round Robin manner over available backend-fs objects.
  - RPCs are grouped in per-object groups of 'RR quantum' size; Iprocfs tunable.
  - Sorted within each group by logical of physical disk offset.
  - Physical offsets are calculated using extent information obtained via fiemap.
- TRR performs the same scheduling, but in a Round Robin manner over available OSTs.
- The main aim is to minimize drive seek operations, thus increasing read performance.
- TRR should be able to help in cases where an OST is underutilized; this was not straightforward to test.



NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	3,	with	round	3201	•
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	З,	with	round	3201	•
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	З,	with	round	3201	•
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	З,	with	round	3201	٠
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	З,	with	round	3201	٠
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	3045868	from	0ST	with	index	З,	with	round	3201	٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request														٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		2												٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		2												٠
	orr request		-												٠
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
	orr request		-												•
NRS start	orr request	for	object	with	ID	2969231	from	OST	with	index	1,	with	round	3204	٠



NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS	start start start start start start start start start start start start start start start start start	orr orr orr orr orr orr orr orr orr orr	request request	for for for for for for for for for for	object object object object object object object object object object object object object object object object object object	with with with with with with with with	ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID I	$\bigcirc \bigcirc $	from from from from from from from from	OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST	with with with with with with with with	index index index index index index index index index index index index index index index index index index index	1,1,1,1,1,3,3,3,3,3,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,	with with with with with with with with	round round round round round round round round round round round round round round round round round round round	2654 2654 2654 2654 2654 2655 2655 2655	
NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS NRS	start start start start start start start start	orr orr orr orr orr orr orr orr	request request	for for for for for for for for	object object object object object object object object	with with with with with with with with	ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID		from from from from from from from	OST OST OST OST OST OST OST	with with with with with with with with	index index index index index index index index	0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	with with with with with with with with	round round round round round round round	2656 2657 2657 2657 2657 2657 2657 2657	



### **ORR/TRR** policy tests

Using IOR to perform read tests; each IOR process reads 16 GB of data.

- Kernel caches cleared between reads.
- Performance is compared with vanilla and NRS FIFO for different TRR/ORR policy parameters.
- Tests with 1 process per client and 8 processes per client.
- Only 14 clients, read operations generate few RPCs.
  - ost\_io.threads\_max=128 on both OSS nodes.
- The OSS nodes are not totally saturated with this number of clients.



### **ORR/TRR** policy IOR FPP read

IOR FPP sequential read, 1MB I/O

14 clients, 1 thread per client, 16 GB file per thread



vanilla: FIFO: ORR log 256: ORR phys 256: TRR log 256: TRR phys 256: 3092.91 MB/sec 3102.17 MB/sec 3146.97 MB/sec 3150.86 MB/sec 3164.66 MB/sec 3268.98 MB/sec



### **ORR/TRR** policy IOR SSF read

#### IOR SSF sequential read, 1MB I/O

#### 14 clients, 1 thread per client, 16 GB file per thread



vanilla: FIFO: ORR log 256: ORR phys 256: TRR log 256: TRR phys 256: 2744.15 MB/sec 2741.78 MB/sec 2689.12 MB/sec 2728.89 MB/sec 2684.42 MB/sec 2720.96 MB/sec



### **ORR/TRR** policy IOR FPP read

#### IOR FPP sequential read, 1MB I/O

14 clients, 8 threads per client, 16 GB file per thread



vanilla: FIFO: ORR log 256: ORR phys 256: TRR log 256: TRR phys 256: 2274.55 MB/sec 2248.62 MB/sec 2432.78 MB/sec 2424.69 MB/sec 1540.82 MB/sec 1778.56 MB/sec



### **ORR/TRR** policy IOR SSF read

#### IOR SSF sequential read, 1MB I/O

#### 14 clients, 8 threads per client, 16 GB file per thread



vanilla: FIFO: ORR log 256: ORR phys 256: TRR log 256: TRR phys 256: 2260.37 MB/sec 2257.9 MB/sec 1089.385 MB/sec 1236.72 MB/sec 1086.18 MB/sec 1258.907 MB/sec



#### IOzone read, 1MB, 16GB per process



#### 14 clients, 1 process per client



14 clients, 1 process per client									
handler	min (MB/sec)	max (MB/sec)							
vanilla	190.18	606.87							
FIFO	191.51	618.05							
CRR-N	188.79	513.87							
ORR (log, 256)	198.8	425.27							
ORR (phys, 256)	198.8	418.85							
TRR (log, 256)	208.48	476.55							
TRR (phys, 256)	217.56	488.25							



### ORR/TRR policy tests, large readahead

- Only 14 clients, for 512 ost\_io threads tests, increase number of RPCs by:
  - max\_read\_ahead\_mb=256
  - max\_read\_ahead\_per\_file\_mb=256
- These lead to curious results.
  - Tests were without the LU-983 fix for readahead.



#### ORR/TRR policy IOR FPP read, large readahead

IOR FPP sequential read, 1MB I/O

14 clients, 1 thread per client, 32 GB file per thread



### TRR (physical, 256) IOR FPP read, large readahead

IOR FPP sequential read, 1MB I/O



14 clients, 1 thread per client, 32 GB file per thread

Performance is highest at a  $\sim$  512 quantum size.

The exact number may vary between workloads.



#### ORR/TRR IOR SSF read test, large readahead

IOR SSF sequential read, 1MB I/O

#### 14 clients, 1 thread per client, 448 GiB file





### Notes on ORR and TRR policies

- TRR/ORR increase performance in some test cases, but decrease it in others.
- TRR/ORR may improve the performance of small and/or random reads.
  - Random reads produce a small number of RPCs with few clients, so this was not tested.
- TRR may improve the performance of widely striped file reads.
  - Only 8 OSTs were available for these tests, so this was not tested.
- ORR/TRR may improve the performance of backward reads.
  - Again, few RPCs were generated for this test, so this was not tested.
- TRR on a multi-layered NRS policy environment can be simplified.
- ORR policy will need an LRU-based or similar method for object destruction; TRR much less so.
- TRR and ORR should be less (if at all) beneficial on SSD-based OSTs.



### Test results summary

- The NRS framework with FIFO policy: no significant performance regressions.
  - Data and metadata operations tested at reasonably large scale.
- The CRR-N and ORR/TRR policies look promising for some use cases; CRR-N tends to smooth reads out, ORR/TRR improve performance for reads in some test cases.
  - May be useful in specific scenarios, or for more generic usage.
  - We may get the best of policies when they are combined in a multi-layer NRS arrangement.
- Further testing may be required.
  - CRR-N and ORR/TRR benefits at larger scale.
  - We ran out of large-scale resources for LUG, but will follow up on this presentation with more results.



- Decide whether the NRS framework with FIFO policy and perhaps others, can land soon.
  - Work out a test plan with the community if further testing is required.
- We should be able to perform testing at larger scale soon.
- Two policies operating at the same time should be useful.
- NRS policies as separate kernel modules?
- QoS policy.





Advancing Digital Storage Innovation



## Thank you!

Nikitas Angelinas nikitas\_angelinas@xyratex.com